UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,876	06/27/2003	Jonathan Dale	073338.0134 (02-53311 FLA	7466
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 12/29/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	'ENUE	JABR, FADEY S		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/608,876	DALE, JONATHAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TABLE C. GABA	0020				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	vit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orio	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	·					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betterappeal; and/or			he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	des NOT also the smallestics					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce pecause:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/ John W. Hayaa/						
/John W Hayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that claims 11-20 are not software. However, even after reading the applicant's specification as disclosed by the applicant, more evidence appears. For instance, the specification mentions that "interface 42 may include any suitable "combination" of hardware and/OR logic for interfacing with other components (spec. pg. 12). Further, page 10 recites "an interface (logic), a description, knowledge base, a plan and plan processing engine", which are all deemed to be software in the broadest reasonable interpretation. Therefore, the 101 rejection is upheld. The applicant argues that the cited references fail to disclose identifying a template specifying a plurality of events and modifying the template to associate the service links with the events. However, Rose dicloses a template where a user chooses a restaurant and uses the system to book the reservation (see Figure 6A). In the broadest reasonable interpretation Rose's interface is identifying a template specifying a plurality of events (also see Figure 5A). Applicant argues that Sobalvarro does not disclose packages which can be modified, however, Sobalvarro teaches dynamic packaging of perishable items such as travel goods and services (see Abstract). Further, Sobalvarro teaches this more descriptove display outlines the various components within the package, including the transportation, hotel, car rental, restaurant, entertainment and/or other components of the package and may give consumer the option of requesting upgrades and/or adding on optional components (Abstract, 0100). Thus, Sobalvarro teaches modifying the template.